| Interview Summary   | Application No.              | Applicant(s)   |  |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|--|
|   | 09/910,471                   | WILKINS ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner                     | Art Unit       |  |
|   | Igor Borissov                | 3639           |  |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  |                              |                |  |
| (1) John Weiss.   | (3) Katharina Wang Schuster. |                |  |
| (2) <u>Igor Borissov</u> .  | (4)                          |                |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>3/01/2005</u> .   |                              |                |  |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  |                              |                |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:  |                              |                |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1,29 and 31.  |                              |                |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Baker and Wical.   |                              |                |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.  |                              |                |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>During telephone conversation between Supervisory examiner John Weiss and applicant's representative Mrs. Katharina Wang Schuster, as well as consecutive telephone conversation between examiner Borissov and the applicant's representative, a question of proper motivation to combine Baker and Wical was raised. Upon carefully reviewing the matter, the current Office action is issued.</u> |                              |                |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |                              |                |  |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.   |                              |                |  |
|   |                              |                |  |
|   |                              |                |  |
|   |                              |                |  |
|   |                              |                |  |
|   |                              | P              |  |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required